

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 KEVIN ROHN GILL,

Case No. 3:14-cv-00628-MMD-WGC

10 Petitioner,

ORDER

11 v.

12 ISIDRO BACA, et al.,

13 Respondents.

14 Petitioner has filed a document titled, "Motion for Enlargement of Time to Oppose
15 Respondents' Motion to Dismiss" (ECF No. 55), and respondents have filed a response
16 (ECF No. 56). To the extent that petitioner actually is asking for additional time to respond
17 to the motion to dismiss (ECF No. 51), the motion is without merit, because the Court
18 granted that motion to dismiss on September 25, 2017 (ECF No. 54). The Court directed
19 petitioner to decide what to do with the grounds not exhausted in state court. To the extent
20 that petitioner is asking for additional time to file his response to that order, the court
21 grants him additional time.

22 It is therefore ordered that petitioner's "Motion for Enlargement of Time to Oppose
23 Respondents' Motion to Dismiss" (ECF No. 55) is granted in part. Petitioner will have
24 through December 28, 2017, to file the declaration or motion required by the Court's order
25 of September 25, 2017 (ECF No. 54).

26 DATED THIS 13th day of November 2017.

27
28 

MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE